

LATTICE HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN SOBOLEV SPACES

MARKUS BIEGERT

Institute of Applied Analysis, University of Ulm, 89069 Ulm, Germany
markus.biegert@uni-ulm.de

ABSTRACT. We show in Theorem 4.4 that every vector lattice homomorphism T from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ into $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and open sets $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ has a representation of the form $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$ (Cap_q -quasi everywhere on Ω_2) with mappings $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. This representation follows as an application of an abstract and more general representation theorem (Theorem 3.5). In Theorem 4.8 we prove that every lattice homomorphism T from $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ into $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ admits a representation of the form $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$ (Cap_q -quasi everywhere on Ω_2) with mappings $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. Here $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ denotes the closure of $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and every $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ admits a trace on the boundary $\partial\Omega_1$ of Ω_1 . Finally, in Theorem 4.13 we prove that every lattice homomorphism T from $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ into $\mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ where Ω_1 is bounded has a representation of the form $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$ (Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega_2}$) with mappings $\xi : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries and Setting	3
2.1. Function Spaces	3
2.2. The classical p -Capacity	3
2.3. Equivalence Classes of Functions	4
2.4. The relative p -Capacity	5
2.5. Mollification	6
2.6. Regularizable Spaces	7
2.7. Lattice Homomorphisms and Local Operators	7
2.8. Partition of Unity	8
3. Abstract Representation Theorem	9
4. Representation of Lattice Homomorphisms	13
4.1. Sobolev Spaces with Vanishing Boundary Values	13
4.2. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Local	15
4.3. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Global	17
4.4. Sobolev Spaces with Vanishing Boundary Values: Lattice Isomorphisms	19
4.5. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Lattice Isomorphisms	20
4.6. L^p Spaces	21
4.7. L^p and Sobolev spaces	23
5. Examples and Remarks	23
References	24

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A_1 and A_2 be non-empty sets, E be a Banach space and let $F_1(A_1, E)$ and $F_2(A_2, E)$ be linear spaces of E -valued functions defined on A_1 and A_2 , respectively. If $\xi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ is such that $u \circ \xi$ belongs to $F_2(A_2, E)$ for every $u \in F_1(A_1, E)$, then the operator S_ξ which maps u to $u \circ \xi$ is called a composition transformation. If $g : A_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a map such that $(u \circ \xi)g$ belongs to $F_2(A_2, E)$ for every $u \in F_1(A_1, E)$, then the mapping $T : u \mapsto (u \circ \xi)g$ is called a weighted composition transformation induced by the CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) . There are many results in the literature which assert that certain operators are weighted composition transformations.

The classical *Banach-Stone Theorem* (Stefan Banach [6] and Marshall Harvey Stone [29]) says the following. Let T_1 and T_2 be compact Hausdorff spaces. Then a bounded linear operator $C(T_1) \rightarrow C(T_2)$ is a surjective isometry if and only if T has a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) for some homeomorphism $\xi : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ and some continuous function $g : T_2 \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. A simplified version of *Lamperti's Theorem* (John Lamperti [19]) says the following. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $p \neq 2$ and let T be a linear isometry of $L^p([0, 1])$ into itself. Then there is a Borel measurable mapping ξ of $[0, 1]$ onto (almost all of) $[0, 1]$ and $g \in L^p([0, 1])$ such that $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$ for all $u \in L^p([0, 1])$. Isometries on Orlicz spaces were considered by John Lamperti in 1958 [19] and by Günter Lumer in 1963 [20]. Interesting results for isometries between Sobolev spaces were obtained by Geoff Diestel and Alexander Koldobsky in 2006 [12] by considering $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a subspace of a certain L^p space.

In this article we consider vector lattice homomorphisms $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$. A large class of such lattice homomorphisms can be obtained as follows. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be non-empty open sets in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then the class $\mathcal{T}_p^1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ (defined in the book of Maz'ya and Shaposhnikova [22, Section 6.4.3]) consists by definition of those mappings $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ such that $u \circ \xi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ and $\|u \circ \xi\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_2)} \leq C \cdot \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}$ for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$, where C is a constant independent of u .¹ A real-valued function g defined on Ω_2 belongs by definition to the class of Sobolev multipliers (see Maz'ya and Shaposhnikova [22, Chapter 1 and 6]) $\mathcal{M}(W^{1,p}(\Omega_2) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2))$ if $gu \in W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ for all $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. Then $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ defined by $Tu := (u \circ \xi)g$ with $\xi \in \mathcal{T}_p^1(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)$ and non-negative $g \in \mathcal{M}(W^{1,p}(\Omega_2) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2))$ is a vector lattice homomorphism.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we fix the setting, give examples and prove preliminary results. Some of the introduced objects are well-known, some are less-known and some of them are new. In Section 3 we prove the Abstract Representation Theorem and in Section 4 we apply it to various Sobolev spaces. In the last and short section (Section 5) we give some examples. The sections are split into subsections as follows. In Subsection 2.1 we introduce well-known classes of functions and in Subsection 2.2 we will shortly introduce the classical p -capacity Cap_p with references to the literature for more informations. The definitions in Subsection 2.3 are new. Here we introduce various equivalence classes of functions - functions which are not defined everywhere. It will be important for the Abstract Representation Theorem (Theorem 3.5) to distinguish between pointwise defined functions and equivalence classes of functions.

¹For the case $p \in (N-1, N)$ see Gol'dshtejn and Romanov [17, Section IV].

The relative p -capacity is introduced in Subsection 2.4. The only use of the relative p -capacity is to handle lattice homomorphisms involving Sobolev spaces with non-vanishing boundary values, such as $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}$. In Subsection 2.5 we recall well-known results about the mollification of L^p and Sobolev functions which we need in Subsection 2.6 to deduce that L^p -spaces and Sobolev spaces are regularizable, a notion which is also defined there. Properties of lattice homomorphisms between Sobolev spaces are given in Subsection 2.7. A partition of unity consisting of functions in certain function spaces is introduced in Subsection 2.8. In Section 3 we prove the Abstract Representation Theorem, stating that for certain operators T we have a representation of the form $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$, which we also call a **CoMu**-representation (**Composition** and **Multiplication**) for T . In Section 4 we apply the Abstract Representation Theorem to various Sobolev spaces. Representations of lattice homomorphisms between Sobolev spaces with vanishing boundary values are considered in Subsection 4.1 – Theorem 4.4. Representations for Sobolev spaces with non-vanishing boundary values are considered in Subsection 4.2 – Theorem 4.8 – whereas representations up to the boundary are considered in Subsection 4.3 – Theorem 4.13.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND SETTING

In this article Ω always denotes an open and non-empty subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, (M, d) denotes a metric space, T denotes a topological space and D denotes an arbitrary non-empty set.

2.1. Function Spaces. By $C(T)$ we denote the space of all real-valued and continuous functions on T and by $C_c(T)$ the subspace of $C(T)$ consisting of those functions having compact support. By $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we denote the space of all *test functions* on Ω , that is,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) := C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C_c(\Omega) = \{u \in C^\infty(\Omega) : \text{supp}(u) \subset \Omega \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Its topological dual is denoted by $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ and is called the space of *distributions*. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ the first order Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^N, |\alpha| \leq 1\}, \\ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p &:= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|D^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. The classical p -Capacity. For $p \in (1, \infty)$ the classical p -capacity Cap_p of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is given by

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) := \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p : u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on a neighbourhood of } A \right\}.$$

A pointwise defined function $u : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called Cap_p -*quasi continuous* on A if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\text{Cap}_p(V) \leq \varepsilon$ such that u restricted to $A \setminus V$ is continuous. A set $P \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is called Cap_p -*polar* if $\text{Cap}_p(P) = 0$ and we say that a property holds Cap_p -quasi everywhere (briefly p -q.e) if it holds except for a Cap_p -polar set. For more details we refer to Adams and Hedberg [1], Biegert [9], Bouleau and Hirsch [10], Federer and Ziemer [14], Fukushima and Ōshima and Takeda [16], Malý and Ziemer [21], Maz'ya [23], Meyers [25] and the references therein.

Theorem 2.1. *Adams and Hedberg [1, Proposition 6.1.2 and Theorem 6.1.4] or Malý and Ziemer [21, Theorem 2.20 and Corollary 2.23]. For every $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$*

there exists a Cap_p -quasi continuous representative u of u . Such a representative is unique up to a Cap_p -polar set and is denoted by $\tilde{u}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Malý and Ziemer [21, Corollary 2.25]. For an arbitrary set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the p -capacity of A is given by*

$$\text{Cap}_p(A) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p : u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \tilde{u} \geq 1 \text{ } p\text{-q.e. on } A \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *Bouleau and Hirsch [10, Proposition 8.2.5]. Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $u_n \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a sequence which converges in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exist a Cap_p -polar set P and a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_k$ of $(u_n)_n$ such that $\tilde{u}_{n_k} \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ everywhere on $\Omega \setminus P$.*

2.3. Equivalence Classes of Functions. By $\mathcal{F}(D)$ we denote the space of all real-valued functions $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The power set of D is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(D)$. We call a subset $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{P}(D)$ a *nullspace* on D if it contains the empty set and if it is closed with respect to countable unions, that is, $\emptyset \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$N_n \in \mathcal{N} \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \implies \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

If $\mathcal{N}$ is a nullspace on D , then an equivalence relation $\sim_{\mathcal{N}}$ on $\mathcal{F}(D)$ is given by

$$f \sim_{\mathcal{N}} g \iff \text{there exists } N \in \mathcal{N} \text{ such that } f = g \text{ on } D \setminus N.$$

In the following we consider subspaces U of the quotient space $F(D, \mathcal{N})$ given by

$$F(D, \mathcal{N}) := \mathcal{F}(D) / \sim_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Definition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nullspace on D . Then the vector space $F(D, \mathcal{N})$ is equipped with the order relation $\leq$ defined by*

$$u \leq v \iff \text{there exist } u \in u, v \in v, N \in \mathcal{N} \text{ such that } u \leq v \text{ everywhere on } D \setminus N.$$

Remark 2.5. *Note that with this ordering, the space $F(D, \mathcal{N})$ is a σ -Dedekind complete vector lattice. For more details we refer to Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [3, Ch.1, Sect.1].*

Example 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{N}_0(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ denote the set of all nullsets $N \subset \Omega$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then $U := L^p(\Omega)$ is a subspace of $F(\Omega, \mathcal{N}_0(\Omega))$ for every $p \in [1, \infty]$.*

Example 2.7. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $\mathcal{N}_p(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ consist of all Cap_p -polar sets $N \subset \Omega$. Then we define the (refined) Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset F(\Omega, \mathcal{N}_p)$ as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} W^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \{ [u]_{\mathcal{N}_p} : u \in u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ is } \text{Cap}_p\text{-quasi continuous} \}. \\ W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) &:= \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $[u]_{\mathcal{N}_p}$ denotes the equivalence class of $u \in \mathcal{F}(\Omega)$ with respect to $\sim_{\mathcal{N}_p}$.

Remark 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nullspace on D and let U be a subspace of $F(D, \mathcal{N})$. Saying that a function $u \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ belongs to U means that $[u]_{\mathcal{N}}$ belongs to U . For example, by this identification we have $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ be nullspaces on D and let U_2 be a subspace of $F(D, \mathcal{N}_2)$. Saying that $u \in F(D, \mathcal{N}_1)$ belongs to U_2 means that there exists $u \in u$ such that $[u]_{\mathcal{N}_2} \in U_2$. For example, by this identification we have $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,N+\varepsilon}(\Omega) \subset C(\Omega)$ where $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $C(\Omega)$ are identified with a subspace of $F(\Omega, \{\emptyset\})$ via the previous identification.*

2.4. The relative p -Capacity. In this subsection we introduce the relative p -capacity with respect to an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. The notion of the relative 2-capacity was first introduced by Arendt and Warma in [5] to study the Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary domains in $\mathbb{R}^N$. This notion was extended to $p \in (1, \infty)$ by Biegert in [9] where also further properties are proved. The importance of the relative p -capacity is that Sobolev functions in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ admit a trace on $\partial\Omega$ for **every** open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.

Definition 2.9. For $p \in (1, \infty)$ we let $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the closure of $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega})$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then the relative p -capacity $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ of an arbitrary set $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is given by

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) := \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \mathcal{D}(A) \right\}$$

where $\mathcal{D}(A) := \{u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) : \exists O \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ open, } A \subset O, u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } O \cap \Omega\}$.

Remark 2.10. Note that in the definition above the intersection is given by

$$W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}) := \{u|_{\Omega} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : u \in C_c(\overline{\Omega})\}.$$

We should also remark that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\text{Cap}_{p,\mathbb{R}^N}$ is the classical p -capacity Cap_p . Moreover, if Ω is a Lipschitz domain or more generally of class C^0 , then $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ coincide.

A pointwise defined function $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set V in the metric space $\overline{\Omega}$ with $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(V) \leq \varepsilon$ such that u restricted to $A \setminus V$ is continuous. A set $P \subset \overline{\Omega}$ is called $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar if $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(P) = 0$ and we say that a property holds $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere (briefly (p, Ω) -q.e.) if it holds except for a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set.

Theorem 2.11. Biegert [9, Theorem 3.22]. For every $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ there exists a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous function $\tilde{u} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{u} = u$ Cap_p -quasi everywhere on Ω . Such a function is unique up to a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar set.

Remark 2.12. Let $\mathcal{N}_p^*(\Omega)$ be the set of all $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -polar sets in $\overline{\Omega}$. Note that for $A \subset \Omega$ we have that $\text{Cap}_p(A) = 0$ if and only if $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = 0$. This (together with Theorem 2.11) shows that we can extend every function $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ defined on Ω in a unique way to a $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi continuous function in $F(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{N}_p^*(\Omega))$. In the following we consider $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as a subspace of $F(\overline{\Omega}, \mathcal{N}_p^*(\Omega))$.

Theorem 2.13. Biegert [9, Theorem 3.29]. For an arbitrary set $A \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the relative p -capacity of A is given by

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), u \geq 1 \text{ Cap}_{p,\Omega}\text{-q.e. on } A \right\}.$$

Definition 2.14. (Choquet capacity) Doob [13, A.II.1] A set function $C : \mathcal{P}(T) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a normed Choquet capacity on T if it satisfies the following four conditions.

- $C(\emptyset) = 0$;
- $A \subset B \subset T$ implies $C(A) \leq C(B)$;
- $(A_n)_n \subset T$ increasing implies $C(\bigcup_n A_n) = \lim_n C(A_n)$;
- $(K_n)_n \subset T$ decreasing and K_n compact imply $C(\bigcap_n K_n) = \lim_n C(K_n)$.

Theorem 2.15. *Biegert [9, Theorem 3.4]. For an open and non-empty set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the relative p -capacity $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ is a normed Choquet capacity on $\overline{\Omega}$ and*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(A) = \inf \{ \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(U) : U \text{ open in } \overline{\Omega} \text{ and } A \subset U \}.$$

Theorem 2.16. *Biegert [9, Proposition 3.5]. For a compact set $K \subset \overline{\Omega}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$ the relative p -capacity of K is given by*

$$\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}(K) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p : u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.17. *Biegert [9, Theorem 3.24]. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be a sequence which converges in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a subsequence u_{n_k} which converges $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega}$ -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}$ to u .*

2.5. Mollification. For $x \in M$ and $r > 0$ we denote by $B_M(x, r) := \{y \in M : d(x, y) < r\}$ the open ball in M with center x and radius r . If no confusion seems likely, we briefly write $B(x, r)$ instead of $B_M(x, r)$. For a set $A \subset M$ and $r > 0$ we let $B(A, r)$ and $B(A, -r)$ be the open sets given by

$$B(A, r) := \bigcup_{x \in A} B(x, r), \quad B(A, -r) := \{x \in A : d(x, A^c) > r\}.$$

We define a sequence of mollifiers as follows: Let $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(B(0, 1)) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a non-negative test function such that $\int \rho = 1$. Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we let

$$\rho_m(x) := m^N \rho(mx).$$

Theorem 2.18. *Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $u \in u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and let $u_n : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$u_n(x) := (u \star \rho_n)(x) = \int_{\Omega} u(y) \rho_n(x - y) dy.$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}_0(\Omega)$ such that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus N$.

Proof. It is well-known that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ whenever x is a Lebesgue point for u . See for instance Ziemer [30, Theorem 1.6.1(ii)] or Malý and Ziemer [21, Theorem 1.12]. It is also well-known that almost every $x \in \Omega$ is a Lebesgue point for u . See Jost [18, Corollary 19.18] or Malý and Ziemer [21, Theorem 1.24]. $\square$

Theorem 2.19. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$, $u \in u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and let $u_n : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by*

$$u_n(x) := (u \star \rho_n)(x) = \int_{\Omega} u(y) \rho_n(x - y) dy.$$

Then there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}_p(\Omega)$ (i.e. a Cap_p -polar subset of Ω) such that $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus N$.

Proof. This follows as in the proof of Theorem 2.18 with the additional observation that Cap_p -quasi every $x \in \Omega$ is a Lebesgue point for u – see Adams and Hedberg [1, Theorem 6.2.1], Federer and Ziemer [14] or Malý and Ziemer [21, Theorem 2.55]. $\square$

Lemma 2.20. *We have that $S_m \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega), C(\overline{\Omega}))$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where S_m is given by*

$$S_m u := u \star \rho_m.$$

Proof. This is Young's inequality stating that for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$ we have that

$$\|f \star g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q.$$

The continuity (even on $\mathbb{R}^N$) of $S_m u$ follows from Malý and Ziemer [21, Theorem 1.12(i)]. $\square$

2.6. Regularizable Spaces. In this subsection we introduce classes of function spaces, called regularizable spaces, which have the property that functions therein can be in some sense approximated by smooth functions.

Definition 2.21. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a nullspace on T and let U be a subspace of $F(T, \mathcal{N})$. We call U regularizable if there exists a sequence $(S_m)_m$ of linear and positive operators $S_m : U \rightarrow C(T)$ such that the following holds:

For every $u \in U$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $S_m u(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ for all $x \in T \setminus N$.

In this case we call the sequence $(S_m)_m$ a regularizer sequence for U .

Example 2.22. The space $U := L^p(\Omega) \subset F(\Omega, \mathcal{N}_0)$ is regularizable for every $p \in [1, \infty]$. A regularizer sequence $(S_m)_m$ for U is given by $S_m u := u \star \rho_m$ – Theorem 2.18.

Example 2.23. The space $U := W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset F(\Omega, \mathcal{N}_0)$ is regularizable for every $p \in [1, \infty]$. A regularizer sequence $(S_m)_m$ for U is given by $S_m u := u \star \rho_m$ – Theorem 2.18.

Example 2.24. The space $U := W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset F(\Omega, \mathcal{N}_p)$ is regularizable for every $p \in (1, \infty)$. A regularizer sequence $(S_m)_m$ for U is given by $S_m u := u \star \rho_m$ – Theorem 2.19.

2.7. Lattice Homomorphisms and Local Operators. In this subsection we consider in particular lattice homomorphisms between L^p -spaces or Sobolev spaces and we show that they satisfy the conditions in the Abstract Representation Theorem (Theorem 3.5).

Definition 2.25. Let T be a topological space, $D_1 \subset T$ be a dense subset, $\mathcal{N}_j$ be a nullspace on D_j and let U be a subspace of $F(D_1, \mathcal{N}_1)$. Then a linear operator $T : U \rightarrow F(D_2, \mathcal{N}_2)$ is called T -local, if for all $u, v \in U \cap C_c(T) := \{u|_{D_1} \in U : u \in C_c(T)\}$ with disjoint support the product $Tu \cdot Tv = 0$ in $F(D_2, \mathcal{N}_2)$.

Definition 2.26. Let E, F be vector lattices. A linear mapping $T : E \rightarrow F$ is called a vector lattice homomorphism or briefly lattice homomorphism if $|Tu| = T|u|$ for all $u \in E$. If T is in addition bijective, then T is called a lattice isomorphism.

Lemma 2.27. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and let T be a linear mapping from $L^p(\Omega_1)$ into $L^q(\Omega_2)$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) T is a lattice homomorphism.
- (2) T is a positive and Ω_1 -local operator.
- (3) T is a continuous, positive and Ω_1 -local operator.

Proof. **(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).** The positivity of T is clear. To show that T is local let $u, v \in L^p(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1) = C_c(\Omega_1)$. Then $0 \leq |Tu| \wedge |Tv| = T|u| \wedge T|v| = T(|u| \wedge |v|) = 0$ almost everywhere and hence $Tu \cdot Tv = 0$ in $F(\Omega_2, \mathcal{N}_0(\Omega_2))$. **(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).** Using that $L^q(\Omega_2)$ is a Banach lattice and that T is positive, we get from Schaefer [28, Theorem II.5.3] that T is continuous.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let $u \in L^p(\Omega_1)$. It suffices to show that $Tu^+ \wedge Tu^- = 0$ (Schaefer [28, Proposition II.2.5]). For this, using the continuity and locality of T , it is sufficient to show that there exist functions $u_n, v_n \in L^p(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1) = C_c(\Omega_1)$ with disjoint support (i.e. $\text{supp}(u_n) \cap \text{supp}(v_n) = \emptyset$) such that $u_n \rightarrow u^+$ and $v_n \rightarrow u^-$ in $L^p(\Omega_1)$. To find such sequences let $w \in L^p(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1) = C_c(\Omega_1)$ be such that $\|w - u\|_p \leq 1/n$. Let $\delta > 0$ be so small that for $u_n := (w^+ - \delta)^+$ and $v_n := (w^- - \delta)^+$ one has $\|w^+ - u_n\| \leq 1/n$ and $\|w^- - v_n\| \leq 1/n$. It follows that $(u_n)_n$ and $(v_n)_n$ are sequences with the desired properties. $\square$

Lemma 2.28. *Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and let T be a linear mapping from $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ into $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) T is a lattice homomorphism.
- (2) T is a positive and Ω_1 -local operator.
- (3) T is a continuous, positive and Ω_1 -local operator.

Proof. **(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).** Analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.27. **(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).** Use Theorem 2.30 instead of Schaefer [28, Theorem II.5.3] in the proof of Lemma 2.27. **(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).** Analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.27. $\square$

Remark 2.29. *Note that Schaefer [28, Theorem II.5.3] cannot be used in the proof above since $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is not a Banach lattice.*

Theorem 2.30. *Arendt [4, Appendix] Let E and F be Banach spaces and let E_+ and F_+ be closed cones in E and F , respectively. Assume that E_+ is generating (i.e. $E = E_+ - E_+$) and F_+ is proper (i.e. $F_+ \cap (-F_+) = \{0\}$). If $T : E \rightarrow F$ is a linear and positive operator, then T is continuous.*

Lemma 2.31. *Let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and let $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ be linear. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) T is a lattice homomorphism.
- (2) T is a positive and $\overline{\Omega}_1$ -local operator.
- (3) T is a continuous, positive and $\overline{\Omega}_1$ -local operator.

Proof. **(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2).** Analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.27. **(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).** Use Theorem 2.30 instead of Schaefer [28, Theorem II.5.3] in the proof of Lemma 2.27. **(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).** Analogous to the proof of Lemma 2.27. $\square$

2.8. Partition of Unity. In this subsection we consider topological spaces which admit a ‘‘Partition of Unity’’ of certain function classes. See also Albeverio and Ma and Röckner [2, Definition 1.2].

Definition 2.32. *Let T be a topological space and let U be a subspace of $C_c(T)$. Then we say that T admits a partition of unity of class U or that U is rich in T if for any countable open covering $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} O_n = T$ with relatively compact sets O_n there exist functions $\varphi_n \in U$ such that $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(\varphi_n) \subset O_n$, $(\text{supp}(\varphi_n))_n$ is locally finite and $\sum_n \varphi_n(x) = 1$ for all $x \in T$. Note that this definition has only a real meaning if T is a locally compact and σ -compact topological space. In fact, otherwise there exist no such open covering as considered above and hence every subspace U of $C_c(T)$ is rich in T .*

Remark 2.33. A collection of sets in a topological space T is called *locally finite* if every $x \in T$ has a neighbourhood which intersects only finitely many elements of this collection. See Munkres [26, Definition 39].

Example 2.34. Let M be a locally compact separable metric space (hence M is a paracompact σ -compact Hausdorff space). Then M admits a partition of unity of class $C_c(M)$. See Munkres [26, Theorem 41.7].

Lemma 2.35. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a non-empty open set and let $M \subset \Omega$ be a metric space equipped with the metric from $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then $R := \{u|_M : u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)\} \cap C_c(M)$ is rich in M .

Proof. Let O_n be open and relatively compact sets in M such that $\bigcup_n O_n = M$. Then there exist open sets U_n in Ω such that $O_n = U_n \cap M$. For $U := \bigcup_n U_n$ there exist open sets W_n and V_n in U such that $\overline{W_n}^U \subset V_n$, $\overline{V_n}^U \subset U_n$, $\bigcup_n W_n = U$ and $(V_n)_n$ is locally finite in U . See Munkres [26, Lemma 41.6]. Since $K_n := \overline{W_n}^U \cap M^M \subset \overline{W_n}^U \subset V_n$ is compact there exist $\psi_n \in \mathcal{D}(V_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(U)$ such that $0 \leq \psi_n \leq 1$ in U and $\psi_n \equiv 1$ on K_n . Hence $\text{supp}_M(\psi_n|_M) \subset O_n$. Define $\Psi(x) := \sum_n \psi_n(x)$. Then $\Psi \in C^\infty(U)$ and $\Psi \geq 1$ on M . For $\phi_n := (\psi_n/\Psi)|_M$ we have the desired properties. $\square$

Corollary 2.36. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a non-empty open set. Then $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is rich in Ω .

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.35 with $M = \Omega$. $\square$

Corollary 2.37. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a non-empty open set. Then $R := \{u|_{\overline{V}} : u \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)\} \cap C_c(\overline{V})$ is rich in $\overline{V}$. In particular, $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(V) \cap C_c(\overline{V})$ is rich in $\overline{V}$.

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.35 with $M := \overline{V} \subset \Omega := \mathbb{R}^N$. $\square$

For the proof of the Abstract Representation Theorem we need the following.

Lemma 2.38. (Partition of Unity) Let M be a locally compact separable metric space and let $U \subset C_c(M)$ be a rich subspace in M . Then for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist functions $\phi_{k,n} \in U$ satisfying the following properties:

- For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $z_{k,n} \in M$, $r_{k,n} \in (0, 1/n]$ such that $\phi_{k,n} \in C_c(B(z_{k,n}, r_{k,n}))$.
- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $K \subset M$ compact the set $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \text{supp}(\phi_{k,n}) \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite.
- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed we have (pointwise) that $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \phi_{k,n} \equiv 1$ on M .

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and let $K_j \subset M$ be a sequence of compact sets whose union is M . Since M is locally compact and K_j is compact, we know that K_j is in a finite union of open and relatively compact balls with center in K_j and radius in $(0, 1/n]$. Since the union of all K_j is M , we get that the countable union of all such open and relatively compact balls, denoted by $B(z_{k,n}, r_{k,n})$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, equals M . Since M admits a partition of unity of class U , we get a family of functions $(\phi_{k,n})_k$ in U satisfying the desired properties. $\square$

3. ABSTRACT REPRESENTATION THEOREM

The aim of this section is to prove a representation for linear, positive and local operators defined on a rich subspace similar to the following well-known Theorem – see Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [3, Theorem 7.22]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let X and Y be two compact Hausdorff spaces. Then for a nonzero positive operator $T : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ the following statements are equivalent:*

- T is a lattice homomorphism.
- There exist a unique non-negative function $g \in C(Y)$ and a function $\xi : Y \rightarrow X$ which is continuous on $\{y \in Y : g(y) > 0\}$, such that for all $y \in Y$ and all $u \in C(X)$

$$Tu(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

Definition 3.2. *Let D_j be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{N}_j$ be a nullspace on D_j for $j = 1, 2$ and let T be a linear mapping from a subspace $U \subset F(D_1, \mathcal{N}_1)$ into $F(D_2, \mathcal{N}_2)$. Then a pair of functions (ξ, g) where $\xi : D_2 \rightarrow D_1$ and $g : D_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a CoMu-Representation of T if for every $u \in U$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y)$ for all $y \in D_2 \setminus N$. In this case we briefly write $Tu = (u \circ \xi)g$.*

Remark 3.3. *Let $u \in U$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}_1$ be given. Define $v \in U$ by $v(x) := u(x)$ if $x \in D_1 \setminus N$ and $v(x) := u(x) + 1$ if $x \in N$. Then for a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T we have that there exists $Q \in \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $u(\xi(y))g(y) = v(\xi(y))g(y)$ for all $y \in D_2 \setminus Q$. Therefore, on $P := \{y \in D_2 : g(y) \neq 0\} \setminus Q$, we get that $u(\xi(y)) = v(\xi(y))$. This implies that $\xi(y) \notin N$ for all $y \in P$. Hence $\xi^{-1}(N) \subset Q \cup \{y \in D_2 : g(y) = 0\}$. This shows that for all nullsets $N \in \mathcal{N}_1$ there is a nullset $Q \in \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $\xi^{-1}(N) \cap \{y \in D_2 : g(y) \neq 0\} \subset Q$.*

Lemma 3.4. (Representation for linear and positive functionals) *Let M be a locally compact separable metric space and let $U \subset C_c(M)$ be a rich subspace in M . If $T : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and positive, then there exists a Radon measure μ on M such that*

$$Tu = \int_M u d\mu \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

Proof. For $v \in C_c(M)$ we let $R(v) := \{w \in U : v \leq w\}$ and $p(v) := \inf_{w \in R(v)} Tw$. Then $p(v) = Tv$ for all $v \in U$. We show that $p : C_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a sublinear functional, that is, $p(u + v) \leq p(u) + p(v)$ and $p(\lambda u) = \lambda p(u)$ for all $u, v \in C_c(M)$ and all $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $v \in C_c(M)$. Since U is rich there exists $\phi \in U \cap C_c(M)$, $\phi \geq 0$ such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $\text{supp}(v)$. Hence $-\phi \|v\|_\infty \leq v \leq \phi \|v\|_\infty$. This shows that $p(v) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $v \in C_c(M)$. For $u, v \in C_c(M)$ there exists $u_\varepsilon \in R(u)$ and $v_\varepsilon \in R(v)$ such that $Tu_\varepsilon \leq p(u) + \varepsilon$ and $Tv_\varepsilon \leq p(v) + \varepsilon$. Hence $p(u + v) \leq Tu_\varepsilon + Tv_\varepsilon \leq p(u) + p(v) + 2\varepsilon$. For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ we get $p(u + v) \leq p(u) + p(v)$. Now let $\lambda > 0$. Then $p(\lambda u) = \inf_{w \in R(\lambda u)} Tw = \inf_{w \in R(u)} \lambda Tw = \lambda p(u)$. It follows from the Hahn-Banach Theorem (see Conway [11, Theorem 6.2]) that there exists $\tilde{T} : C_c(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{T}u = Tu$ for all $u \in U$ and $\tilde{T}u \leq p(u)$ for all $u \in C_c(M)$. Since $p(u) \leq 0$ for all $u \in C_c(M)$, $u \leq 0$ we get that $\tilde{T}$ is positive. By the Riesz-Markov Representation Theorem (see Royden [27, Theorem 13.4.23]) we get that there exists a Radon measure μ on M such that $\tilde{T}u = \int_M u d\mu$ for all $u \in C_c(M)$. In particular, we get that $Tu = \tilde{T}u = \int_M u d\mu$ for all $u \in U$. $\square$

Theorem 3.5. (Abstract Representation Theorem) *Assume the following.*

- (1) M a locally compact separable metric space and $U_1 \subset C_c(M)$ rich in M .
- (2) T a topological space, $\mathcal{N}_T$ a nullspace on T and $U_2 \subset F(T, \mathcal{N}_T)$ regularizable.
- (3) $T : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ a linear, positive and M -local operator.

Then T has a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) with $\xi : T \rightarrow M$ and $g : T \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is,

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \text{ in } F(T, \mathcal{A}_T)$$

for all $u \in U_1$.

Proof. Let $S_m : U_2 \rightarrow C(T)$ be a regularizer sequence for U_2 , $\phi_{k,n} \in U_1$ be given from Lemma 2.38 and let $\psi_{k,n} \in T\phi_{k,n}$ be fixed. For $T_m := S_m \circ T$ we get by our assumptions that there exist $N_{k,n} \in \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $T_m\phi_{k,n} \rightarrow \psi_{k,n}$ everywhere on $T \setminus N_{k,n}$. If $\text{supp}(\phi_{k,n}) \cap \text{supp}(\phi_{j,m}) \neq \emptyset$ we let $N_{k,n,j,m} := \emptyset$, otherwise (T is local) we let $N_{k,n,j,m} \in \mathcal{N}_2$ be such that $\psi_{k,n}(y) \cdot \psi_{j,m}(y) = 0$ for all $y \in T \setminus N_{k,n,j,m}$. Now let $N \in \mathcal{N}_2$ be the union of all $N_{k,n}$ and $N_{k,n,j,m}$ and let

$$T' := \{y \in T \setminus N : \text{there exist } k, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \psi_{k,n}(y) > 0\}.$$

Step 1: Radon measures $\mu_{y,m}$. Let $y \in T$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. By the properties of T_m it follows that $\delta_y \circ T_m : U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is linear and positive. It follows from Lemma 3.4 that there exists a Radon measure $\mu_{y,m}$ on M such that

$$(T_mu)(y) = \int_M u d\mu_{y,m} \quad \text{for all } u \in U_1.$$

Step 2: We show that for every $y \in T'$ there exists $\xi(y) \in M$ such that for all compact sets $K \subset M \setminus \{\xi(y)\}$ we have that

$$\mu_{y,m}(K) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

For the proof let $y \in T'$ be fixed. Then there exist $k_0, n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi_{k_0,n_0}(y) > 0$.

Step 2a: We show that for every $n \geq n_0$ there exists $k_0(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\psi_{k_0(n),n}(y) > 0$. Let $n \geq n_0$ be fixed. Since $\text{supp}(\phi_{k_0,n_0}) \subset M$ is compact, there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi := \sum_{k=1}^j \phi_{k,n} \equiv 1 \text{ on } \text{supp}(\phi_{k_0,n_0}).$$

Using that $T_m : U_1 \rightarrow C(T)$ is positive, we get that

$$\psi_{k_0,n_0}(y) \stackrel{m}{\leftarrow} (T_m\phi_{k_0,n_0})(y) \leq (T_m\Phi)(y) = \sum_{k=1}^j (T_m\phi_{k,n})(y) \stackrel{m}{\rightarrow} \sum_{k=1}^j \psi_{k,n}(y).$$

Therefore there exists $k_0(n) \in \{1, \dots, j\}$ such that $\psi_{k_0(n),n}(y) > 0$. Let $z_n := z_{k_0(n),n}$ denote the center and $r_n := r_{k_0(n),n} \leq 1/n$ denote the radius of the ball B containing the support of $\phi_{k_0(n),n}$ (see Lemma 2.38).

Step 2b: Let $K \subset M$ be a compact set and let $K_n := K \setminus B(z_n, 3/n)$ for $n \geq n_0$. We show that $\mu_{y,m}(K_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let $n \geq n_0$ be fixed. Then there exists $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi := \sum_{k=1}^j \phi_{k,n} \equiv 1 \text{ on } K.$$

For $M_n := \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq j, \text{supp}(\phi_{k,n}) \cap \text{supp}(\phi_{k_0(n),n}) = \emptyset\}$ we get that

$$\eta_n := \sum_{k \in M_n} \phi_{k,n} \equiv 1 \text{ on } K_n \quad \text{and} \quad (\delta_y \circ T_m)\eta_n = \sum_{k \in M_n} (\delta_y \circ T_m)\phi_{k,n} \stackrel{m}{\rightarrow} \sum_{k \in M_n} \psi_{k,n}(y).$$

Since $\text{supp}(\varphi_{k,n}) \cap \text{supp}(\varphi_{k_0(n),n}) = \emptyset$ for all $k \in M_n$, we get that $\psi_{k,n}(y)\psi_{k_0(n),n}(y) = 0$ and since $\psi_{k_0(n),n}(y) > 0$ it follows that $\psi_{k,n}(y) = 0$ for all $k \in M_n$. Therefore

$$\mu_{y,m}(K_n) \leq \int \eta_n d\mu_{y,m} = (\delta_y \circ T_m)\eta_n \rightarrow \sum_{k \in M_n} \psi_{k,n}(y) = 0.$$

Step 2c: We show that $(z_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in M . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and let $m_0 \geq n_0$ be such that $m_0 \geq 6/\varepsilon$. Assume that there exist $n, l \geq m_0$ such that $d(z_l, z_n) \geq \varepsilon \geq 6/m_0$, then

$$B(z_l, 3/l) \cap B(z_n, 3/n) \subset B(z_l, 3/m_0) \cap B(z_n, 3/m_0) = \emptyset.$$

Let $K := \text{supp}(\varphi_{k_0, n_0})$. Then

$$0 < \psi_{k_0, n_0}(y) = \lim_m \int \varphi_{k_0, n_0} d\mu_{y,m} \leq \limsup_m \mu_{y,m}(K) \leq \limsup_m \mu_{y,m}(K_l) + \mu_{y,m}(K_n) = 0,$$

a contradiction. Let $\xi(y) := \lim_n z_n \in \overline{M}$ where $(\overline{M}, \overline{d})$ denotes a completion of (M, d) .

Step 2d: We show the assertion of Step 2. Let $K \subset M \setminus \{\xi(y)\}$ be a compact set and $\delta := \overline{d}(K, \xi(y)) > 0$. Let $n \geq \max(n_0, 6/\delta)$ be such that $z_n \in B(\xi(y), \delta/2)$. Then

$$B(z_n, 3/n) \cap K = \emptyset \quad \text{whence} \quad K_n := K \setminus B(z_n, 3/n) = K.$$

Hence by Step 2b we get that $\mu_{y,m}(K) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\xi(y) \in \overline{M} \setminus M$. Then

$$0 < \psi_{k_0, n_0}(y) = \lim_m \int \varphi_{k_0, n_0} d\mu_{y,m} \leq \lim_m \mu_{y,m}(\text{supp}(\varphi_{k_0, n_0})) = 0,$$

a contradiction and hence $\xi(y) \in M$.

Step 3: We show that for $y \in T'$ there exists $g(y) \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\int_M u d\mu_{y,m} \rightarrow g(y)u(\xi(y))$ for all $u \in U_1$. For this let $\omega \subset M$ be an open and relatively compact set containing $\xi(y)$. Then there exist $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\Phi := \sum_{k=1}^j \varphi_{k, n_0} \equiv 1 \text{ on } \overline{\omega}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &:= \lim_m \mu_{y,m}(\omega) = \lim_m \int_{\omega} \Phi d\mu_{y,m} = \lim_m \int_{\text{supp}(\Phi)} \Phi d\mu_{y,m} - \int_{\text{supp}(\Phi) \setminus \omega} \Phi d\mu_{y,m} \\ &= \lim_m \int_M \Phi d\mu_{y,m} = \sum_{k=1}^j \psi_{k, n_0}(y) \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $g(y)$ does not depend on the particular choice of ω . Assume that $g(y) = 0$, then $0 < \psi_{k_0, n_0}(y) = \lim_m \int_{\omega} \varphi_{k_0, n_0} d\mu_{y,m} = 0$, a contradiction and hence $g(y) > 0$. Now let $u \in U_1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By the continuity of u there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|u(\xi(y)) - u(x)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in \omega := B(\xi(y), \delta)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that ω is relatively compact. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_m \int u d\mu_{y,m} &= \limsup_m \int_{\omega} u d\mu_{y,m} \leq \limsup_m \mu_{y,m}(\omega)[u(\xi(y)) + \varepsilon] \\ &= g(y)[u(\xi(y)) + \varepsilon] = \liminf_m \mu_{y,m}(\omega)[u(\xi(y)) + \varepsilon] \\ &\leq \liminf_m \int_{\omega} u + 2\varepsilon d\mu_{y,m} = 2\varepsilon g(y) + \liminf_m \int u d\mu_{y,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, the claim follows.

Step 4: We finish the proof of the theorem. Let $u \in U_1$ and $f \in Tu$ be fixed. Then there exists $\hat{N} \in \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $T_m u \rightarrow f$ everywhere on $T \setminus \hat{N}$. Let $M := N \cup \hat{N}$ and $x_0 \in M$ be fixed. For $y \in T \setminus T'$ we let $\xi(y) := x_0$ and $g(y) := 0$. We show that for all $y \in T \setminus M$ we have that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

Let $y \in T \setminus M$ be fixed. Then there are two possibilities, $y \in T'$ or $y \notin T'$. If $y \notin T'$ then for all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $\psi_{k,n}(y) = 0$. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\Phi := \sum_{k=1}^j \varphi_{k,1} \equiv 1 \text{ on } \text{supp}(u).$$

Then

$$|f(y)| = \lim_m |T_m u(y)| \leq \lim_m \int |u| d\mu_{y,m} \leq \|u\|_\infty \lim_m \int \Phi d\mu_{y,m} = \|u\|_\infty \sum_{k=1}^j \psi_{k,1}(y) = 0.$$

Therefore (since $g(y) = 0$) we get that $f(y) = 0 = u(\xi(y))g(y)$. If $y \in T'$ then

$$f(y) = \lim_m (T_m u)(y) = \lim_m \int u d\mu_{y,m} = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

□

4. REPRESENTATION OF LATTICE HOMOMORPHISMS

In this section we apply the Abstract Representation Theorem (Theorem 3.5) to lattice homomorphisms between L^p and Sobolev spaces defined on open and non-empty sets Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$. This was the main motivation for the work we did in the previous section.

4.1. Sobolev Spaces with Vanishing Boundary Values. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. In this subsection we assume that $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. It follows from

- Example 2.24 that $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is regularizable,
- Lemma 2.28 that T is Ω_1 -local and positive (and continuous),
- Corollary 2.36 that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1)$ is rich in Ω_1 and
- Theorem 3.5 that $T|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1)}$ has a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) ,

that is, for all $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\Omega_1) \supset \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$ and $f \in Tu$ there exists a Cap_q -polar set N such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N. \quad (1)$$

In order to extend Equation (1) to $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $K_j \subset \Omega_j$ be compact sets and let $G_m := \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 1/m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(K_1, K_2)$ such that for every compact set $K \subset K_1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_p(K)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $\psi_j \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_j)$ be such that $\psi_j \geq 1$ on K_j and let $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\varphi_n \geq 1$ on K and $\|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq \text{Cap}_p(K) + 1/n$. Let $f_n \in T(\varphi_n \psi_1)$ be fixed and let N be a Cap_q -polar set such that

$$f_n(y) = (\varphi_n \psi_1)(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for $y \in \xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap K_2 \cap N^c$ we get that

$$m\psi_2(y)f_n(y) \geq mg(y) \geq 1.$$

Hence (using that $m\psi_2 f_n$ is Cap_q -quasi continuous) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap K_2) &\leq \|mf_n\psi_2\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)}^q \leq m^q C_2^q \|T\|^q \|\varphi_n\psi_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}^q \\ &\leq m^q C_2^q C_1^q \|T\|^q \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.2. *Let $K_j \subset \Omega_j$ be compact sets and let $G_m := \{y \in \Omega : g(y) > 1/m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(K_1, K_2)$ such that for all sets M in the interior K_1° of K_1 the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(M) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_p(M)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an open set $O \subset K_1^\circ$ containing M such that $\text{Cap}_p(O) \leq \text{Cap}_p(M) + \varepsilon$. Let $C_n \subset O$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n C_n = O$. By Lemma 4.1 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(M) \cap G_m \cap K_2) &\leq \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(O) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \\ &= \lim_n \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(C_n) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \\ &\leq \lim_n C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_p(C_n)^{q/p} \\ &= C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_p(O)^{q/p} \\ &\leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q [\text{Cap}_p(M) + \varepsilon]^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *The set $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}$ is Cap_q -polar for every Cap_p -polar set $P \subset \Omega_1$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_n^j \subset \Omega_j$ be increasing sequences of bounded open sets such that $\overline{\omega_n^j} \subset \omega_{n+1}^j$ and $\bigcup_n \omega_n^j = \Omega_j$. For all $n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ we get by the previous lemma that

$$\text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(P \cap \omega_n^1) \cap G_m \cap \omega_k^2) \leq C_{n,k}^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_p(P \cap \omega_n^1) = 0.$$

Now the claim follows from the identity

$$\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n,m,k} \xi^{-1}(P \cap \omega_n^1) \cap G_m \cap \omega_k^2.$$

$\square$

The following theorem is one of the main theorems in this article. It says that every lattice homomorphism between Sobolev spaces admits a CoMu-Representation.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T with $\xi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is,*

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \quad \text{for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1).$$

More precisely, this means that for every $u \in \mathfrak{u} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists a Cap_q -polar set $N \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N.$$

Proof. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$ be a sequence of test functions converging in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ to $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. For $u \in \mathfrak{u}$ (after passing to a subsequence, Theorem 2.3) there exists a Cap_p -polar set P such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ everywhere on $\Omega_1 \setminus P$. Now let $f_n \in Tu_n$ and $f \in Tu$ be fixed. Then (after passing to a subsequence, Theorem 2.3) there exists a Cap_q -polar set N_1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ everywhere on $\Omega_2 \setminus N_1$. Let N_2 be a Cap_q -polar set such that the following holds for all $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$f_n(y) = u_n(\xi(y))g(y).$$

For the Cap_q -polar set $N := [\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}] \cup N_1 \cup N_2$ and $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N$ we get that

$$f(y) = \lim_n f_n(y) = \lim_n u_n(\xi(y))g(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

□

4.2. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Local. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. In this subsection we assume that $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. It follows from

- Example 2.24 that $W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is regularizable,
- Lemma 2.31 that T is $\overline{\Omega}_1$ -local and positive (and continuous),
- Corollary 2.37 that $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_1)$ is rich in $\overline{\Omega}_1$ and
- Theorem 3.5 that $T|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_1)}$ has a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) ,

that is, for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_1)$ and $f \in Tu$ there exists a Cap_q -polar set N such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N. \quad (2)$$

Note that here $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_1$. In order to extend Equation (2) to $u \in \mathfrak{u} \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $K_2 \subset \Omega_2$ be a compact set and let $G_m := \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 1/m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(K_2)$ such that for every compact set $K_1 \subset \overline{\Omega}_1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(K_1) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K_1)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $\psi_{1,n} \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_1)$ and $\psi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$ be such that $\psi_{1,n} \geq 1$ on K_1 , $\psi_2 \geq 1$ on K_2 and $\|\psi_{1,n}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}^p \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K_1) + 1/n$ (see Theorem 2.16). Let $f_n \in T\psi_{1,n}$ be fixed and let N be a Cap_q -polar set such that

$$f_n(y) = \psi_{1,n}(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for $y \in \xi^{-1}(K_1) \cap G_m \cap K_2 \cap N^c$ we get that

$$m\psi_2(y)f_n(y) \geq mg(y) \geq 1.$$

Hence (using that $m\psi_2 f_n$ is Cap_q -quasi continuous) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap K_2) &\leq \|m f_n \psi_2\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)}^q \leq m^q C_2^q \|T\|^q \|\psi_{1,n}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}^q \\ &\leq m^q C_2^q \|T\|^q (\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K) + 1/n)^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $K_2 \subset \Omega_2$ be a compact set and let $G_m := \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 1/m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(K_2)$ such that for all sets M in $\overline{\Omega}_1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(M) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an open set O in the metric space $\overline{\Omega}_1$ containing M such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(O) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M) + \varepsilon$ (see Theorem 2.15). Let $C_n \subset O$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n C_n = O$. By Lemma 4.5, using that Cap_{p,Ω_1} is a Choquet Capacity (see Theorem 2.15), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(M) \cap G_m \cap K_2) &\leq \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(O) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \\ &= \lim_n \text{Cap}_q(\xi^{-1}(C_n) \cap G_m \cap K_2) \\ &\leq \lim_n C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(C_n)^{q/p} \\ &= C^q m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(O)^{q/p} \\ &\leq C^q m^q \|T\|^q [\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M) + \varepsilon]^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *The set $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}$ is Cap_q -polar for every Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set $P \subset \overline{\Omega}_1$.*

Proof. Let $\omega_n \subset \Omega_2$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n \omega_n = \Omega_2$. It follows from Lemma 4.6 that $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap G_m \cap \omega_n$ is Cap_q -polar for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. The claim follows now from the identity

$$\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n,m} \xi^{-1}(P) \cap G_m \cap \omega_n.$$

$\square$

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T with $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_1$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is,*

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1).$$

More precisely, this means that for every $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists a Cap_q -polar set $N \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N.$$

Note that every $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ has a unique trace on $\partial\Omega_1$ up to a Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set.

Proof. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$ be a sequence of continuous functions converging in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ to u . For $u \in u$ (after passing to a subsequence, Theorem 2.17) there exists a Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set P such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega_1} \setminus P$. Now let $f_n \in Tu_n$ and $f \in Tu$ be fixed. Then (after passing to a subsequence, Theorem 2.17) there exists a Cap_q -polar set N_1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ everywhere on $\Omega_2 \setminus N_1$. Let N_2 be a Cap_q -polar set such that the following holds for all $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$f_n(y) = u_n(\xi(y))g(y).$$

For the Cap_q -polar set $N := [\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}] \cup N_1 \cup N_2$ (see Lemma 4.7) and $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N$ we get that

$$f(y) = \lim_n f_n(y) = \lim_n u_n(\xi(y))g(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

□

4.3. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Global. In this subsection we assume that $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are non-empty open sets, Ω_1 is bounded, $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ (see Subsection 4.2) with $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is, for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \quad \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}\text{-quasi everywhere on } \Omega_2.$$

Note that the Cap_q - and Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar sets in Ω_2 coincide.

Proposition 4.9. *Under the above assumptions there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ^*, g^*) of T with $\xi^* : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g^* : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$*

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi^*)g^* \quad \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}\text{-quasi everywhere on } \overline{\Omega_2}.$$

Proof. Since $g \in T1 \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ we get that $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ has a unique extension $g^* : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi continuous on $\overline{\Omega_2}$. Since $\xi_j g = Tx_j \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ we get that $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ has an extension $\xi^* : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ which is Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi continuous on $\{y \in \overline{\Omega_2} : g^*(y) \neq 0\}$. To see that ξ^* may be chosen such that $\xi^*(\overline{\Omega_2}) \subset \overline{\Omega_1}$ we let $u^* \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $u^* > 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $u^* = 0$ on $\overline{\Omega_1}$. Then there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set $Q \subset \Omega_2$ such that for $f := 0 \in T(u^*|_{\Omega_1})$

$$0 = u^*(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) \quad (3)$$

for all $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus Q$. Since $f = 0$ and $(u^* \circ \xi^*)g^*$ are Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi continuous on $\overline{\Omega_2}$ we get by Theorem 2.11 (Uniqueness of the quasi continuous version) that there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set $Q^* \subset \overline{\Omega_2}$ such that equation (3) holds for all $y \in \overline{\Omega_2} \setminus Q^*$. Moreover, it follows that $(\xi^*)^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}) \cap \{y \in \overline{\Omega_2} : g(y) \neq 0\} \subset Q^*$ is a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar subset of $\overline{\Omega_2}$. Hence by changing ξ^* on a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set and on $\{g = 0\}$ we get that $\xi^*(\overline{\Omega_2}) \subset \overline{\Omega_1}$. Now let $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$ and $f \in Tu$. Then there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set $Q \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f = (u \circ \xi^*)g^* \quad (4)$$

everywhere on $\Omega_2 \setminus Q$. Since both sides of equation (4) are Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi continuous on $\overline{\Omega_2}$ this identity extends to hold Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega_2}$. □

In order to prove that the representation from Proposition 4.9 holds even for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 4.10. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G_m := \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) > 1/m\}$. Then for every compact set $K \subset \overline{\Omega}_1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(K) \cap G_m) \leq m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\psi_n \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_1)$ be such that $\psi_n \geq 1$ on K and $\|\psi_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}^p \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K) + 1/n$. Let $f_n \in T\psi_n$ be fixed and let $N \subset \overline{\Omega}_2$ be a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set such that

$$f_n(y) = \psi_n(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus N, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for $y \in (\xi^*)^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap N^c$ we get that

$$mf_n(y) \geq mg^*(y) \geq 1.$$

Hence (using that mf_n is Cap_{q,Ω_2} -quasi continuous) we get by Theorem 2.13 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(K) \cap G_m) &\leq \|mf_n\|_{W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)}^q \leq m^q \|T\|^q \|\psi_{1,n}\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)}^q \\ &\leq m^q \|T\|^q [\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(K) + 1/n]^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.11. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G_m := \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) > 1/m\}$. Then for every set $M \subset \overline{\Omega}_1$ the following estimate holds:*

$$\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(M) \cap G_m) \leq m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M)^{q/p}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists an open set O in the metric space $\overline{\Omega}_1$ containing M such that $\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(O) \leq \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M) + \varepsilon$. Let $C_n \subset O$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n C_n = O$. Now we get from Lemma 4.10 that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(M) \cap G_m) &\leq \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(O) \cap G_m) \\ &= \lim_n \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}((\xi^*)^{-1}(C_n) \cap G_m) \\ &\leq m^q \|T\|^q \lim_n \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(C_n)^{q/p} \\ &= m^q \|T\|^q \text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(O)^{q/p} \\ &\leq m^q \|T\|^q [\text{Cap}_{p,\Omega_1}(M) + \varepsilon]^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ the claim follows. $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *The set $(\xi^*)^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) > 0\}$ is Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar for every Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set $P \subset \overline{\Omega}_1$.*

Proof. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $G_m := \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) > 1/m\}$. Then the claim follows from Lemma 4.11 and the identity

$$(\xi^*)^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) > 0\} = \bigcup_m (\xi^*)^{-1}(P) \cap G_m.$$

$\square$

Theorem 4.13. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that Ω_1 is bounded and that $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ^*, g^*) of T with $\xi^* : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g : \overline{\Omega_2} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$*

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi^*)g^* \quad \text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}\text{-quasi everywhere on } \overline{\Omega_2}.$$

More precisely, this means that for every $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set $N \subset \overline{\Omega_2}$ such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \overline{\Omega_2} \setminus N.$$

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. Then there exist $u_n \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega_1})$ and a Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set P such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega_1} \setminus P$. Now let $f_n \in Tu_n$ and $f \in Tu$. Then (after passing to a subsequence) there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set N_1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ everywhere on $\overline{\Omega_2} \setminus N_1$. Let N_2 be a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set such that the following holds for all $y \in \overline{\Omega_2} \setminus N_2$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$f_n(y) = u_n(\xi^*(y))g^*(y).$$

For the Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set $N := [(\xi^*)^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \overline{\Omega_2} : g(y) > 0\}] \cup N_1 \cup N_2$ (see Lemma 4.12) and $y \in \overline{\Omega_2} \setminus N$ we get that

$$f(y) = \lim_n f_n(y) = \lim_n u_n(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) = u(\xi^*(y))g^*(y).$$

□

4.4. Sobolev Spaces with Vanishing Boundary Values: Lattice Isomorphisms. In this subsection we assume that $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are non-empty open sets and $T : \mathcal{W}_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_0^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Let (ξ, g) be a CoMu-Representation of T which exists by Theorem 4.4.

Definition 4.14. *For $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $N \subset \Omega$ we define the Banach space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N)$ by*

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N) := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : u = 0 \text{ Cap}_p\text{-q.e. on } N\}, \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, N)} := \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}.$$

Proposition 4.15. *Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ and let $N \subset \Omega$ be an arbitrary set. Then*

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{Cap}_p(N) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\omega_k \subset \subset \Omega$ be open sets such that $\bigcup_k \omega_k = \Omega$ and let $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be such that $\varphi_k \equiv 1$ on ω_k . Assume now that $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N)$. Then $\varphi_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N)$ and hence $\text{Cap}_p(N \cap \omega_k) = 0$. This shows that $\text{Cap}_p(N) = 0$. Assume now that $\text{Cap}_p(N) = 0$. Then $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N) = W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and hence $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega, N)$. □

Proposition 4.16. *If $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_2) \subset T W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ then g is strictly positive Cap_q -q.e. on Ω_2 .*

Proof. Let $N := \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) = 0\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_2) \subset T W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \subset W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_2, N)$. Therefore $\text{Cap}_q(N) = 0$ by Proposition 4.15, that is, $g > 0$ Cap_q -q.e. on Ω_2 . □

Definition 4.17. *For $j = 1, 2$ let T_j be a topological space and Cap_j be a Choquet capacity on T_j . Then a mapping $\tau : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is called Cap_1 - Cap_2 -quasi invertible if there exist a*

Cap₁-polar set S and a Cap₂-polar set R such that $\tau : T_1 \setminus S \rightarrow T_2 \setminus R$ is bijective. In this case we let $\tau^{-1} : T_2 \rightarrow T_1$ be given by

$$\tau^{-1}(y) := \begin{cases} (\tau|_{T_1 \setminus S})^{-1}(y) & \text{if } y \in T_2 \setminus R \\ \in T_2 \text{ arbitrarily} & \text{if } y \in R. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.18. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice isomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T with $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that ξ is Cap_q-Cap_p-quasi invertible and $(\xi^{-1}, 1/g \circ \xi^{-1})$ is a CoMu-Representation for T^{-1} .

Remark 4.19. In the above theorem it does not matter whether such lattice isomorphisms exist (for $p \neq q$) or not.

Proof. Note that the inverse T^{-1} of T is again a lattice homomorphism (see Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [3, Theorem 7.3]). By Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.16 there exist CoMu-Representations (ξ, g) of T and (η, h) of T^{-1} with $g(\Omega_2) \subset (0, \infty)$ and $h(\Omega_1) \subset (0, \infty)$:

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}v = (v \circ \eta)h \text{ for all } v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_2).$$

Let $v \in v \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$, $u \in u := T^{-1}v$ and $w \in Tu = v$. Then there exist a Cap_p-polar set P_1 and a Cap_q-polar set Q_1 such that $u(x) = v(\eta(x))h(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega_1 \setminus P_1$ and $v(y) = w(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y)$ for all $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus Q_1$. Hence we conclude that

$$v(y) = v(\eta(\xi(y)))h(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus (Q_1 \cup \xi^{-1}(P_1)).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 and Proposition 4.16 that the set $Q := Q_1 \cup \xi^{-1}(P_1)$ is a Cap_q-polar set. Now let $\omega_n \subset \subset \Omega_2$ be a sequence of open sets such that $\bigcup_n \omega_n = \Omega_2$ and let $v_n, w_{n,j} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_2)$ be such that $v_n(y) = 1$ and $w_{n,j}(y) = y_j$ for all $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)^t \in \omega_n$. Then there exists a Cap_q-polar set R such that for all $y \in \Omega'_2 := \Omega_2 \setminus R$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$v_n(y) = v_n(\eta(\xi(y)))h(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{and} \quad w_{n,j}(y) = w_{n,j}(\eta(\xi(y)))h(\xi(y))g(y).$$

Let $y \in \Omega'_2$ be fixed and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $y \in \omega_n$ and $\eta(\xi(y)) \in \omega_n$. Then we get that

$$1 = v_n(y) = v_n(\eta(\xi(y)))h(\xi(y))g(y) = h(\xi(y))g(y);$$

$$y_j = w_{n,j}(y) = w_{n,j}(\eta(\xi(y)))h(\xi(y))g(y) = w_{n,j}(\eta(\xi(y))) = \eta(\xi(y))_j.$$

Therefore $g = 1/(h \circ \xi)$ everywhere on Ω'_2 , $\xi : \Omega'_2 \rightarrow \xi(\Omega'_2)$ is bijective and $\eta : \xi(\Omega'_2) \rightarrow \Omega'_2$ is its inverse. Interchanging the role of T and T^{-1} we get a Cap_p-polar set S and a set $\Omega'_1 := \Omega_1 \setminus S$ such that $\eta : \Omega'_1 \rightarrow \eta(\Omega'_1)$ is bijective and $\xi : \eta(\Omega'_1) \rightarrow \Omega'_1$ is its inverse. For $\tilde{\Omega}_1 := \Omega'_1 \cup \xi(\Omega'_2)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_2 := \eta(\Omega'_1) \cup \Omega'_2$ we get that $\xi : \tilde{\Omega}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_1$ is bijective and $\eta : \tilde{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_2$ is its inverse. $\square$

4.5. Sobolev Spaces with Non-Vanishing Boundary Values: Lattice Isomorphisms. In this subsection we assume that $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ are bounded non-empty open sets and $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice isomorphism. Let (ξ^*, g^*) be a CoMu-Representation of T which exists by Theorem 4.13.

Lemma 4.20. The function g^* is strictly positive Cap_{q, \Omega_2}-quasi everywhere on $\overline{\Omega}_2$.

Proof. Let $N := \{y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 : g^*(y) = 0\}$ and let $\omega_n \subset \overline{\Omega}_2$ be a sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_n \omega_n = \overline{\Omega}_2$. Let $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $\varphi_n \geq 1$ on ω_n . Since $\varphi_n|_{\overline{\Omega}_2} \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is in the image of T , we get that $\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}(\omega_n \cap N) = 0$. Therefore $\text{Cap}_{q,\Omega_2}(N) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 4.21. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be bounded non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in (1, \infty)$. Assume that $T : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice isomorphism. Then there exists a CoMu-Representation (ξ^*, g^*) of T with $\xi^* : \overline{\Omega}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}_1$ and $g^* : \overline{\Omega}_2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that ξ^* is Cap_{q,Ω_2} - Cap_{p,Ω_1} -quasi invertible and $((\xi^*)^{-1}, 1/g^* \circ (\xi^*)^{-1})$ is a CoMu-Representation for T^{-1} .*

Proof. Note that the inverse T^{-1} of T is again a lattice homomorphism (see Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [3, Theorem 7.3]). By Theorem 4.13 and Lemma 4.20 there exist CoMu-Representations (ξ^*, g^*) of T and (η^*, h^*) of T^{-1} with $g^*(\overline{\Omega}_2) \subset (0, \infty)$ and $h^*(\overline{\Omega}_1) \subset (0, \infty)$, that is,

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi^*)g^* \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \quad \text{and} \quad T^{-1}v = (v \circ \eta^*)h^* \text{ for all } v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2).$$

Let $v \in v \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$, $u \in u := T^{-1}v$ and $w \in Tu = v$. Then there exist a Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set P_1 and a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set Q_1 such that $u(x) = v(\eta^*(x))h^*(x)$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}_1 \setminus P_1$ and $v(y) = w(y) = u(\xi^*(y))g^*(y)$ for all $y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus Q_1$. Hence we conclude that

$$v(y) = v(\eta^*(\xi^*(y)))h^*(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus (Q_1 \cup (\xi^*)^{-1}(P_1)).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.12 and Lemma 4.20 that the set $Q := Q_1 \cup (\xi^*)^{-1}(P_1)$ is a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set. Now let $v, w_j \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\Omega_2) \cap C_c(\overline{\Omega}_2)$ be given by $v(y) := 1$ and $w_j(y) := y_j$. Then there exists a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set R such that for all $y \in \Omega'_2 := \overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus R$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= v(y) = v(\eta^*(\xi^*(y)))h^*(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) = h^*(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) \\ y_j &= w_j(y) = w_j(\eta^*(\xi^*(y)))h^*(\xi^*(y))g^*(y) = w_j(\eta^*(\xi^*(y))). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $g^* = 1/(h^* \circ \xi^*)$ everywhere on Ω'_2 , $\xi^* : \Omega'_2 \rightarrow \xi^*(\Omega'_2)$ is bijective and $\eta^* : \xi^*(\Omega'_2) \rightarrow \Omega'_2$ is its inverse. Interchanging the role of T and T^{-1} we get a Cap_{p,Ω_1} -polar set S and a set $\Omega'_1 := \overline{\Omega}_1 \setminus S$ such that $\eta^* : \Omega'_1 \rightarrow \eta^*(\Omega'_1)$ is bijective and $\xi^* : \eta^*(\Omega'_1) \rightarrow \Omega'_1$ is its inverse. For $\tilde{\Omega}_1 := \Omega'_1 \cup \xi^*(\Omega'_2)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_2 := \eta^*(\Omega'_1) \cup \Omega'_2$ we get that $\xi^* : \tilde{\Omega}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_1$ is bijective and $\eta^* : \tilde{\Omega}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_2$ is its inverse. $\square$

4.6. L^p Spaces. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. In this section we assume that $T : L^p(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. It follows from

- Example 2.22 that $L^q(\Omega_2)$ is regularizable,
- Lemma 2.27 that T is Ω_1 -local and positive (and continuous),
- Corollary 2.36 that $C_c(\Omega_1)$ is rich in Ω_1 and
- Theorem 3.5 that $T|_{C_c(\Omega_1)}$ has a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) ,

that is, for all $u \in C_c(\Omega_1) \supset \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$ and $f \in Tu$ there exists a Lebesgue nullset N such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N. \quad (5)$$

In order to extend Equation (5) to $u \in L^p(\Omega_1)$ we need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.22. *The set $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}$ is a Lebesgue nullset for every Lebesgue nullset $P \subset \Omega_1$.*

Proof. Using that for a compact set $K \subset \Omega_1$ we have that

$$\lambda(K) = \inf \left\{ \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^p : u \in C_c(\Omega_1), u \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\}$$

we get that there are $\varphi_n \in C_c(\Omega_1)$ such that $\varphi_n \geq 1$ on K and $\|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^p \leq \lambda(K) + 1/n$. Let $G_m := \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 1/m\}$ and $f_n \in T\varphi_n$. Then there exists a Lebesgue nullset $P_2 \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f_n(y) = \varphi_n(\xi(y))g(y) \geq 1/m \quad \text{for all } y \in \xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m \cap P_2^c.$$

This shows (using the outer Lebesgue measure λ^*) that

$$\lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m) \leq m^q \|f_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)}^q \leq m^q \|T\|^q \|\varphi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^q \leq m^q \|T\|^q (\lambda(K) + 1/n)^{q/p}.$$

For $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get that

$$\lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(K) \cap G_m) \leq m^q \|T\|^q \lambda(K)^{q/p}.$$

Now let $O \subset \Omega_1$ be an open and fixed set and let $K_j \subset O$ be an increasing sequence of compact sets such that $\bigcup_j K_j = O$. Then

$$\lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(O) \cap G_m) = \lim_j \lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(K_j) \cap G_m) \leq \lim_j m^q \|T\|^q \lambda(K_j)^{q/p} = m^q \|T\|^q \lambda(O)^{q/p}.$$

Now take the Lebesgue nullset $P \subset \Omega_1$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set O containing P such that $\lambda(O) \leq \varepsilon$. Hence

$$\lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(P) \cap G_m) \leq \lambda^*(\xi^{-1}(O) \cap G_m) \leq m^q \|T\|^q \varepsilon^{q/p}.$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get that $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap G_m$ is a Lebesgue nullset. Let $G := \bigcup G_m$. Then the claim follows from the equality $\xi^{-1}(P) \cap G = \bigcup \xi^{-1}(P) \cap G_m$. $\square$

Theorem 4.23. Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets and let $p, q \in [1, \infty]$. Assume that $T : L^p(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exist a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T with $\xi : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is,

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \quad \text{for all } u \in L^p(\Omega_1).$$

More precisely, this means that for every $u \in L^p(\Omega_1)$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists a Lebesgue nullset $N \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N.$$

Proof. Let $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1)$ be a sequence of test functions converging in $L^p(\Omega_1)$ to $u \in L^p(\Omega_1)$. For $u \in u$ (after passing to a subsequence) there exists a Lebesgue nullset P such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ everywhere on $\Omega_1 \setminus P$. Now let $f_n \in Tu_n$ and $f \in Tu$ be fixed. Then (after passing to a subsequence) there exists a Lebesgue nullset N_1 such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ everywhere on $\Omega_2 \setminus N_1$. Let N_2 be a Lebesgue nullset such that the following holds for all $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N_2$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$f_n(y) = u_n(\xi(y))g(y).$$

For the Lebesgue nullset $N := [\xi^{-1}(P) \cap \{y \in \Omega_2 : g(y) > 0\}] \cup N_1 \cup N_2$ and $y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N$ we get that

$$f(y) = \lim_n f_n(y) = \lim_n u_n(\xi(y))g(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y).$$

$\square$

Here we should mention the following representation theorem of Feldman and Porter [15, Theorem 1] for lattice homomorphisms between certain Banach lattices.

Theorem 4.24. (Feldman and Porter). *Let E and F be Banach lattices having locally compact representation spaces X and Y respectively (see Schaefer [28, Definition III.5.4]) and let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a lattice homomorphism satisfying $T(C_c(X)) \subset C_c(Y)$. Then there are a non-negative function $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a function $\xi : Y \rightarrow X$, both continuous on $P := \{y \in Y : g(y) > 0\}$, such that for $u \in E$*

$$(Tu)(y) = \begin{cases} u(\xi(y))g(y) & \text{if } y \in P \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in Y \setminus P. \end{cases}$$

4.7. L^p and Sobolev spaces.

Theorem 4.25. *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be non-empty open sets where Ω_1 has finite Lebesgue measure and let $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$. Assume that $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism. Then there exist a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of T with $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, that is,*

$$Tu = (u \circ \xi)g \quad \text{for all } u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1).$$

More precisely, this means that for every $u \in u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and every $f \in Tu$ there exists a Lebesgue nullset $N \subset \Omega_2$ such that

$$f(y) = u(\xi(y))g(y) \quad \text{for all } y \in \Omega_2 \setminus N.$$

Proof. Let S be the restriction of T to the sublattice $L := W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1)$. Then $S : L \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$ is a lattice homomorphism and L dominates $L^\infty(\Omega_1)$. Using that $L^q(\Omega_2)$ is complete vector lattice (see Meyer-Nieberg [24, Example v, page 9]) we can extend S (see Bernau [7, Theorem 3.1]) to a vector lattice homomorphism $\tilde{S} : L^\infty(\Omega_1) \rightarrow L^q(\Omega_2)$. By Theorem 4.23 we get that there exist a CoMu-Representation (ξ, g) of $\tilde{S}$, that is, $\tilde{S}u = (u \circ \xi)g$ for all $u \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$, in particular $Tu = Su = \tilde{S}u = (u \circ \xi)g$ for all $u \in L = W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_1)$. For $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$ define $u_n := (u \vee (-n)) \wedge n$. Then $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere on Ω_1 and in $W^{1,p}(\Omega_1)$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 4.23 the claim follows. $\square$

5. EXAMPLES AND REMARKS

Remark 5.1. *The assumption that T is a lattice homomorphism in the previous theorems can be reduced to the condition that T is a linear, order bounded and disjointness preserving operator. This follows from the following theorem (see [8, Theorem 1.2]).*

Let E and F be vector lattices with F Archimedean and T an order bounded linear operator from E into F such that $|Tu| \wedge |Tv| = 0$ for all $u, v \in E$ with $|u| \wedge |v| = 0$. Then there exist lattice homomorphisms T^+, T^- and $|T|$ from E into F such that $T = T^+ - T^-$, $(T^+)x = (Tx)^+$ and $(T^-)x = (Tx)^-$ ($0 \leq x \in E$), $|T| = T^+ + T^-$ and $|Tx| = |T|(|x|)$ for $x \in E$.

Example 5.2. (Restriction to a smaller set) *Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\Omega_1 := (0, 2) \times (0, 2)$ and $\Omega_2 := (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$. Then $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,q}(\Omega_2)$ given by $Tu := u|_{\Omega_2}$ is a surjective lattice homomorphism.*

Example 5.3. (Extension by zero) Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\Omega_1 := (0, 2) \times (0, 1)$ and $\Omega_2 := (0, 2) \times (0, 2)$. Then $T : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ given by $Tu(x) := u(x)$ if $x \in \Omega_1$ and $Tu(x) := 0$ if $x \in \Omega_2 \setminus \Omega_1$ defines an injective lattice homomorphism.

Example 5.4. (Reflection at the boundary) Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be given by $\Omega_1 := (0, 2) \times (0, 1)$ and $\Omega_2 := (0, 2) \times (0, 2)$. Then $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega_1) = \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_1) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega_2)$ given by $Tu(x) := u(x)$ if $x \in \Omega_1$ and $Tu(x) := u(x_1, 2 - x_2)$ if $x \in \Omega_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$ defines an injective lattice homomorphism. Let $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $g : \Omega_2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be given from Theorem 4.8. Let $u(x) := x_2$. Then $0 < u < 1$ on Ω_1 but $Tu = 1$ Cap_p -quasi everywhere on $(0, 2) \times \{1\}$. Using that $g = 1$ Cap_p -quasi everywhere on Ω_2 we get that the mapping $\xi : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_1}$ from Theorem 4.8 can not be changed on a Cap_{q,Ω_2} -polar set such that $\xi(\Omega_2) \subset \Omega_1$. This example shows also that the trace is needed to get a representation Cap_{p,Ω_2} -quasi every.

REFERENCES

- [1] David R. Adams and Lars Inge Hedberg, *Function spaces and potential theory*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 314, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR 97j:46024
- [2] S. Albeverio, Zhiming Ma, and Michael Röckner, *Partitions of unity in sobolev spaces over infinite dimensional state spaces.*, J. Funct. Anal. **143** (1997), no. 1, 247–268.
- [3] Charalambos D. Aliprantis and Owen Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006, Reprint of the 1985 original. MR MR2262133
- [4] W. Arendt, *Resolvent positive operators and integrated semigroups*, Semesterbericht Funktionalanalysis, Tübingen, Sommersemester 1984 (1984), 73–101.
- [5] Wolfgang Arendt and Mahamadi Warma, *The Laplacian with Robin boundary conditions on arbitrary domains*, Potential Anal. **19** (2003), no. 4, 341–363. MR 1 988 110
- [6] Stefan Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Éditions Jacques Gabay, Sceaux, 1993, Reprint of the 1932 original. MR MR1357166 (97d:01035)
- [7] S. J. Bernau, *Extension of vector lattice homomorphisms*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **33** (1986), no. 3, 516–524. MR MR850967 (87h:47088)
- [8] ———, *Sums and extensions of vector lattice homomorphisms*, Acta Appl. Math. **27** (1992), no. 1-2, 33–45, Positive operators and semigroups on Banach lattices (Curaçao, 1990). MR MR1184875 (93f:47041)
- [9] Markus Biegert, *The relative capacity*, Preprint, June 2008, available online at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.1417v3>.
- [10] Nicolas Bouleau and Francis Hirsch, *Dirichlet forms and analysis on Wiener space*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1991. MR MR1133391 (93e:60107)
- [11] John B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis. 2nd ed.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. New York etc.: Springer-Verlag. xvi, 399 p. DM 148.00 , 1990.
- [12] Geoff Diestel and Alexander Koldobsky, *Sobolev spaces with only trivial isometries.*, Positivity **10** (2006), no. 1, 135–144.
- [13] Joseph L. Doob, *Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart. Reprint of the 1984 edition.*, Classics in Mathematics. Berlin: Springer. xxiii, 846 p., 2001.
- [14] Herbert Federer and William P. Ziemer, *The Lebesgue set of a function whose distribution derivatives are p-th power summable.*, Math. J., Indiana Univ. **22** (1972), 139–158.
- [15] W. A. Feldman and J. F. Porter, *Operators on Banach lattices as weighted compositions*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **33** (1986), no. 1, 149–156. MR MR829395 (87j:47054)
- [16] Masatoshi Fukushima, Yōichi Ōshima, and Masayoshi Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994. MR MR1303354 (96f:60126)
- [17] V.M. Gol'dshtejn and A.S. Romanov, *Transformations that preserve Sobolev spaces.*, Sib. Math. J. **25** (1984), 382–388.
- [18] Jürgen Jost, *Postmodern analysis*, third ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. MR MR2166001 (2006c:46001)

- [19] John Lamperti, *On the isometries of certain function-spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 459–466. MR MR0105017 (21 #3764)
- [20] Gunter Lumer, *On the isometries of reflexive Orlicz spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **13** (1963), 99–109. MR MR0158259 (28 #1485)
- [21] Jan Malý and William P. Ziemer, *Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 51, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR MR1461542 (98h:35080)
- [22] V.G. Maz'ya and T.O. Shaposhnikova, *Theory of multipliers in spaces of differentiable functions.*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, 23. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program. Boston - London - Melbourne: Pitman Publishing Inc. XIII, 344 p. , 1985.
- [23] Vladimir G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev spaces*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, Translated from the Russian by T. O. Shaposhnikova. MR 87g:46056
- [24] Peter Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach lattices*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991. MR MR1128093 (93f:46025)
- [25] Norman G. Meyers, *Continuity properties of potentials.*, Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), 157–166.
- [26] James R. Munkres, *Topology. 2nd ed.*, Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. xvi, 537 p. , 2000.
- [27] H.L. Royden, *Real analysis. 3rd ed.*, New York: Macmillan Publishing Company; London: Collier Macmillan Publishing. xx, 444 p. , 1988.
- [28] Helmut H. Schaefer, *Banach lattices and positive operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. MR MR0423039 (54 #11023)
- [29] M. H. Stone, *Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1937), no. 3, 375–481. MR MR1501905
- [30] William P. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 120, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. MR 91e:46046